arXiv:1608.06896v1 [hep-ph] 24 Aug 2016

Form Factors and charge radii of heavy flavored mesons in a potential model

Tapashi Das[1,*], D K Choudhury[1,2] and N S Bordoloi[3]
[1]Department of Physics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014, India.
[2] Physics Academy of North-East, Guwahati-781014, India.
[3] Department of Physics, Cotton College, Guwahati-781001, India
[*]email:t4tapashi@gmail.com

Abstract

We report the results for charge radii of heavy flavored mesons ($D^+, D^0, D_s^+, B^+, B^0, B_s^0$) in a QCD model with the potential $V(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + br + c$ by incorporating two scales r^{short} and r^{long} as an integration limit so that the perturbative procedure can be improved in a potential model. We also obtain the analytical expressions for Form Factors in terms of momentum transfer (Q^2). The obtained results are compared with our earlier works and with the other theoretical models.

Keywords: Quantum Chromodynamics, Dalgarno's method, Form Factor, Charge radius

PACS No.: 12.38-t, 12.39.Pn

1 Introduction

For both qualitative and quantitative descriptions of hadron spectroscopy, the potential model description in the non-relativistic regime of QCD [1] is found to be tremendously successful. On this basis, we have pursued a nonrelativistic constituent quark model (NRQM) [2, 3, 4] for mesons containing a heavy quark/anti-quark and a light quark/anti-quark. We consider Cornell potential for study because it naturally leads to two choices of "parent" Hamiltonian, one based on the Coulomb part and the other on the linear term. In the potential, $V(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + br + c$, we consider the Coulombic part as parent and the linear as perturbation [2, 5, 6] for short distance, as well as the linear part as parent and the Coulombic part as perturbation [7, 8] to generate quark confinement at long distance. The model uses the two-body Schrodinger's equation and obtains the first order perturbed wave function of the QCD potential using Dalgarno's method [9, 10].

In one of our earlier work [11], the charge radii of various heavy and light mesons were found to be very small for the Coulombic part compared to the linear part, where Variationally Improved Perturbation Theory (VIPT) [12] was used. In another work [4], we have calculated the charge radii of mesons, where the results for light mesons were found to agree well with the experimental values but for heavy flavored B mesons, the results were large compared to other theoretical models. Also the confinement parameter 'b' could not be incorporated in our ealier work [4, 2].

In the present paper, we take into account these limitations and revisit the bound states $D^+, D^0, D_s^+, B^+, B^0, B_s^0$ and calculate the charge radii. We also obtain the expressions for Form Factors with the introduction of two cut off parameters r^{short} and r^{long}. The present model is found to be quite successful [13, 14, 15] in the calculation of RMS radius, Mass and Isgur Wise function of various heavy light mesons.

The paper is organised as follows: in section 2, we outline the formalism where we have discussed the model and obtain the expressions for Form Factors. In section 3, we summarize the results and discussions. Section 4 contains conclusion.

2 Formalism

2.1 The Model:

The non-relativistic two body Schrodinger equation [16] is

$$H|\psi\rangle = (H_0 + H')|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle, \tag{1}$$

so that the first order perturbed eigenfunction $\psi^{(1)}$ and eigenenergy $W^{(1)}$ can be obtained using the relation

$$H_0\psi^{(1)} + H'\psi^{(0)} = W^{(0)}\psi^{(1)} + W^{(1)}\psi^{(0)} \tag{2}$$

where

$$W^{(0)} =< \psi^{(0)}|H_0|\psi^{(0)} > \tag{3}$$

$$W^{(1)} =< \psi^{(0)}|H'|\psi^{(0)} > . \tag{4}$$

We calculate the total wave function using Dalgarno's method of perturbation for the potential [17]

$$V(r) = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + br + c, \tag{5}$$

where -$\frac{4}{3}$ is due to the color factor, α_s is the strong coupling constant, r is the inter quark distance, b is the confinement parameter (phenomenologically, $b = 0.183GeV^2$ [17]) and 'c' is a constant scale factor.

It is already seen [13, 14, 15] that Dalgarno's method in general conflicts with the quantum mechanical idea that the scale factor 'c' should not have an observable effect except the energy shift. For the validation of the quantum mechanical expectation we therefore consider the scale factor $c = 0$ in the potential of equation (5).

However it is well known that at short distance Coulomb potential plays a more dominant role than the linear confinement because while the former is inversely proportional to 'r', the latter is linear. Similarly, for large distance the confinement takes over the Coulomb effect. Therefore if the inter-quark seperation 'r' can be roughly divided into short distance $(r^{short}/(r^S))$ and long distance $(r^{long}/(r^L))$, effectively one of the potential will dominate over the other. In such situation confinement parameter (b) and the strong coupling parameter (α_s) can be considered as effective and appropriate small pertubative parameters.

With $c = 0$, for potential of type (5), one of the choice for parent and perturbed Hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r}$$

and

$$H' = br.$$

The total wave function for this case is

$$\psi_I^{total}(r) = \frac{N}{\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu b a_0 r^2\right] \left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-\epsilon} e^{-\frac{r}{a_0}}, \tag{6}$$

where the normalization constant N is

$$N = \left[\int_0^{r^S} \frac{4r^2}{a_0^3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu b a_0 r^2\right]^2 \left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-2\epsilon} e^{-\frac{2r}{a_0}} dr\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}$$

and

$$a_0 = \left(\frac{4}{3}\mu\alpha_s\right)^{-1}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mu = \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j}, \tag{9}$$

m_i and m_j are the masses of the quark and antiquark respectively, μ is the reduced mass of the mesons and

$$\epsilon = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{4}{3}\alpha_s\right)^2} \tag{10}$$

is the correction for relativistic effect [18, 19]due to Dirac modification factor.

Similarly, the wave function upto $O(r^4)$ for another choice of parent and perturbed Hamiltonian of (5),

where

$$H_0 = br \tag{11}$$

and

$$H' = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r}, \tag{12}$$

is

$$\psi_{II}^{total}(r) = \frac{N'}{r}\left[1 + A_0 r^0 + A_1(r)r + A_2(r)r^2 + A_3(r)r^3 + A_4(r)r^4\right] A_i[\rho_1 r + \rho_0] \left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-\epsilon}, \tag{13}$$

where $A_i[r]$ is the Airy function [20] and N' is the normalization constant,

$$N' = \left[\int_{r^L}^{r_0} 4\pi \left[1 + A_0 r^0 + A_1(r)r + A_2(r)r^2 + A_3(r)r^3 + A_4(r)r^4\right]^2 (A_i[\rho_1 r + \rho_0])^2 \left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-2\epsilon} dr\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{14}$$

The co-efficients of the series solution as occured in Dalgarno's method of perturbation, are the function of α_s, μ and b:

$$A_0 = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{-2\mu\frac{4\alpha_s}{3}}{2\rho_1 k_1 + \rho_1^2 k_2} \tag{16}$$

$$A_2 = \frac{-2\mu W^1}{2 + 4\rho_1 k_1 + \rho_1^2 k_2} \tag{17}$$

$$A_3 = \frac{-2\mu W^0 A_1}{6 + 6\rho_1 k_1 + \rho_1^2 k_2} \tag{18}$$

$$A_4 = \frac{-2\mu W^0 A_2 + 2\mu b A_1}{12 + 8\rho_1 k_1 + \rho_1^2 k_2}. \tag{19}$$

The parameters:

$$\rho_1 = (2\mu b)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{20}$$

$$\rho_0 = -\left[\frac{3\pi(4n-1)}{8}\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{21}$$

(In our case n=1 for ground state)

$$k_1 = 1 + \frac{k}{r} \tag{22}$$

$$k = \frac{0.3550281 - (0.2588194)\rho_0}{(0.2588194)\rho_1} \tag{23}$$

$$k_2 = \frac{k^2}{r^2} \tag{24}$$

$$W^1 = \int \psi^{(0)\star} H' \psi^{(0)} d\tau \tag{25}$$

$$W^0 = \int \psi^{(0)\star} H_0 \psi^{(0)} d\tau. \tag{26}$$

It is to be noted that the application of Airy function as meson wave function needs suitable cut off to make the analysis normalizable and convergent. We therefore set a cut off (r_0) in the integration limit and we fix it to 1 $Fermi$ [21] for our calculations.

To find the cut offs r^S and r^L, we use the two choices of perturbative conditions:

Choice-I:

$$-\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} > br \tag{27}$$

Choice-II:

$$br > -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} \tag{28}$$

From (27) and (28) we can find the bounds on r upto which case-I and II are valid. Case-I gives the cut off on the short distance $r^S_{max} < \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha_s}{3b}}$ and case-II gives the cut off on the long distance $r^L_{min} > \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha_s}{3b}}$.

In table-1, we show the bounds on r^S and r^L in $Fermi$ which yields exact/most restrictive upper bounds of the quantities to be calculated. However for the continuity of the potential as well as the wave function it is required to set the integral limit $r^S = r^L$ [13] in the theory.

Table 1: r^S and r^L in Fermi with $c = 0$ and $b = 0.183GeV^2$

Scale	$r^S = r^L$ (Fermi)
c-scale ($\alpha_s = 0.39$)	0.33207
b-scale ($\alpha_s = 0.22$)	0.24941

2.2 Form Factor and Charge Radii:

The elastic charge Form Factor for a charged system of point quarks has the form [22]

$$F(Q^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \int_0^\infty 4\pi r \mid \psi(r) \mid^2 Sin(Q_i r) dr \tag{29}$$

where e_i is the charge of the i^{th} quark/antiquark and

$$Q_i = \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} m_j Q}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} m_i} \tag{30}$$

Q_i describes how the virtuality Q is shared between the quark antiquark pair of the meson.

With the improved model, we redefine equation (29) as

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q^2) = & \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \int_0^{r^S} 4\pi r \mid \psi(r) \mid^2 Sin(Q_i r) dr \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \int_{r^L}^{r_0} 4\pi r \mid \psi(r) \mid^2 Sin(Q_i r) dr \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$F(Q^2) = F(Q^2) \mid_I + F(Q^2) \mid_{II}$$

To obtain the results we have used Mathematica version 7.0.0 where we have considered Airy function upto $O(r^4)$. However to check the behaviour of the Form Factor with momentum transfer square Q^2 we have also solved it by hand with Airy Function upto order r^1 as shown in Appendix-B.

In order to see the assymptotic behaviour of Form Factor we have considered both the cases with relativistic effect and non-relativistic effect.

Form Factor with relativistic effect $\left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-\epsilon}$:

The 1st part of the integration (31) $F(Q^2) \mid_I$ is solved using Coulomb as parent and linear as perturbation wave function (6) (Appendix A) with relativistic correction which gives

$$F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Bigg[\frac{1}{2^{1-2\epsilon}}\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_s)(2-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}} - \frac{\mu b a_0^3}{2^{3-2\epsilon}}\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_s)(4-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon}} + \frac{\mu^2 b^2 a_0^6}{2^{7-2\epsilon}}\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_s)(6-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{7}{2}-\epsilon}}\Bigg] \tag{32}$$

where the Incomplete Gamma function $\gamma(s,r_s)$ is defined as

$$\int_0^{r_s} t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt = \gamma(s,r_s). \tag{33}$$

From the reality condition of equation (10), we get that $0<\epsilon<1$, thus Form Factor falls with the increasing value of Q^2.

Similarly, the 2nd part of integration (31) $F(Q^2)\mid_{II}$ is solved using wave function (13) (Appendix B), which is

$$F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 a_0^{2\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Bigg[\sum_{k=1}^{11} F_k\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{k-2\epsilon}{2}}}\Bigg] \tag{34}$$

where F_k's are defined in equation (B.5) of Appendix-B.

The constraint on equation (34) is that for the term with $k=1$, $\epsilon<1$.

Form Factor without relativistic effect $\left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^{-\epsilon}$:

The 1st part of the integration (31) $F(Q^2)\mid_I$ with $\epsilon=0$ gives

$$F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Bigg[\gamma(2,r_s)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{\mu b a_0^3}{2}\gamma(4,r_s)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{3\mu^2 b^2 a_0^6}{2^6}\gamma(6,r_s)\frac{1}{(1+\frac{a_0^2Q_i^2}{4})^{\frac{7}{2}}}\Bigg] \tag{35}$$

Similarly, with $\epsilon=0$, $F(Q^2)\mid_{II}$ is

$$F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Bigg[\sum_{k=2}^{11} F'_k\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}}\Bigg] \tag{36}$$

where F'_k's are defined in equation (B.8) of Appendix-B.

Including equations (32) and (34), equations (35) and (36) are also showing us the $\frac{1}{Q^2}$ behaviour of Form Factors, which means at large Q^2 Form Factor falls. Thus we can say that ϵ doesn't change the assymptotic behaviour of the Form Factors.

The average charge radii square for the mesons is extracted from the Form Factors at their low Q^2 behaviour using the relation,

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = -6 \frac{d}{dQ^2} eF(Q^2)|_{Q^2=0}. \tag{37}$$

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Form Factors:

Though there is no experimental information available for Form Factors of mesons having charm and bottom quarks, in figure 1 we display the variation of Form Factor $F(Q^2) vs Q^2$ for charged mesons ($D^+(c\bar{d})$, $D^+(c\bar{s})$ and $B^+(u\bar{b})$)and in figure 2 we display the variation of Form Factor for neutral mesons ($D^0(c\bar{u})$, $B^0(d\bar{b})$ and $B_s^0(s\bar{b})$) respectively, using equation (31) with Dirac modification factor. The input parameters used are $m_u = 0.336GeV$, $m_d = 0.336GeV$, $m_s = 0.483GeV$, $m_c = 1.55GeV$, $m_b = 4.95GeV$ and $b = 0.183GeV^2$ and α_s values 0.39 and 0.22 for charmonium and bottomonium scale respectively and are same with the previous work [13, 14, 15].



Figure 1: Variation of form factor $F(Q^2)$ with Q^2 for a) $D^+(c\bar{d})$ meson b) $D^+(c\bar{s})$ meson and c) $B^+(u\bar{b})$ meson.

Figure 1 shows the Form Factor $F(Q^2)$ decreases with the increase of Q^2 as it should.



Figure 2: Variation of form factor $F(Q^2)$ with Q^2 for a) $D^0(c\bar{u})$, b) $B^0(d\bar{b})$ and c) $B_s^0(s\bar{b})$ meson.

It seen that the Form Factor of the charged mesons decreases with the increasing value of Q^2, but for neutral mesons Form Factor first increases for very small Q^2 than decreases with the increasing value of momentum transfer square. A similar behaviour for neutral pseudoscalar Kaon is also suggested in ref.[23]. Thus it seems that a temporary rise in Form factor does exist for heavy flavored neutral mesons also. From the graphs it is also clear that the range of validity of the model is not beyond $\sim 2.1 GeV^2$.

3.2 Charge radii:

The mean charge square charge radii of the heavy pseudoscalar mesons have not been measured yet. We present the results obtained for the charge radii using equation (37) for various D and B mesons in the following table and compare with our previous works [4, 11] and the prediction of other models [24, 25, 26, 27].

Table 2: The mean square charge radii of D and B mesons.

Meson	$\langle r^2 \rangle$ $(Fermi^2)$							
	Present work		Previous work[4]	Previous work[11]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]
	with relativistic correction	without relativistic correction						
$D^+(cd)$	0.260	0.265	0.134	0.011	0.184	0.134	0.184	0.219
$D^0(c\bar{u})$	-0.453	-0.463	-0.234	-0.013	-0.304	-0.234	-0.303	-0.403
$D_s^+(c\bar{s})$	0.216	0.222	0.126	0.010	0.124	0.126	0.123	-
$B^+(u\bar{b})$	0.536	0.538	2.96	0.060	0.378	-	0.186	-
$B^0(d\bar{b})$	-0.266	-0.267	-1.47	-0.030	-0.187	-1.46	-	-
$B_s^0(s\bar{b})$	-0.214	-0.215	-1.37	-0.025	-0.119	-	-	-

From the above table we can see that our predicted results are in good agreement with other theoretical models [24, 25, 26, 27]. The present results for B mesons are found to be very much improved than our earlier analysis [4, 11]. It is observed that the introduction of the Dirac modification factor doesn't change the results significantly.

We have also checked the sensitivity of charge radii for different cut off (r_0) values. The results are presented in the following table.

Table 3: The mean square charge radii of D and B mesons with different r_0 values.

Meson	$\langle r^2 \rangle$ $(Fermi^2)$		
	$r_0 = 4GeV^{-1}$	$r_0 = 5.076GeV^{-1}$	$r_0 = 7GeV^{-1}$
$D^+(cd)$	0.200	0.260	0.307
$D^0(c\bar{u})$	-0.349	-0.453	-0.535
$D_s^+(c\bar{s})$	0.180	0.216	0.305
$B^+(u\bar{b})$	0.410	0.536	0.617
$B^0(d\bar{b})$	-0.204	-0.266	-0.306
$B_s^0(s\bar{b})$	0.180	-0.214	-0.287

Thus from the result we can conclude that the higher value of the cut off (r_0) raises the charge radii of the mesons.

We have also checked the perturbative stability of our results in the present model as shown in the following (table-4), where it is clearly seen that the contribution of the perturbed wave function is always less than that of Parent wave function.

Table 4: Mean square charge radii of D and B mesons.

Meson	$\langle r^2 \rangle$ $(Fermi^2)$	
	With Parent wave function	With Total wave function
$D^+(c\bar{d})$	0.233	0.260
$D^0(c\bar{u})$	-0.406	-0.453
$D_s^+(c\bar{s})$	0.205	0.216
$B^+(u\bar{b})$	0.490	0.536
$B^0(d\bar{b})$	-0.242	-0.266
$B_s^0(s\bar{b})$	-0.207	-0.214

4 Conclusion

We have studied the detail behaviour of the Form Factors with both relativistic and non-relativistic limit. Graphs for Form Factors of the mesons are shown displaying the variation with momentum transfer square (Q^2). From the graphs it is seen that the Form Factors for charged mesons decreases with increases values of Q^2, but for neutral mesons a temporary rise in Form Factor is obsereved, a feature not uncommon even for light neutral Kaon [23] also.

The charge radii of various heavy flavored mesons are calculated within a QCD inspired quark model pursued by us in recent years. In our previous work [4], the model did not show good results for the charge radii of B mesons, though the model was quite successful in the prediction of results for light mesons and heavy flavored D mesons. Here we have improved our earlier results [4, 11] by introducing two cut off parameters r^{short} and r^{long}. In earlier analysis [4], the confinement parameter 'b' was taken to be zero due to perturbative constraints. However with the improved version of our present work, we overcome such limitation by incorporating the value of confinement parameter to be $b = 0.183 GeV^2$.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (T Das) acknowledges the support of University Grants Commission in terms of fellowship under BSR scheme to pursue research work at Gauhati University, Department of Physics. The final version of the work was completed when Prof. D K Choudhury was a visitor at the Rudolf Peierls Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, UK.

References

[1] F Halzen and A D Martin *Quarks and Leptons: An Introductory Course in Modern Particle Physics* (NewYork: John Wiley & sons) 205 (1984)

[2] D K Choudhury, P Das, D D Goswami and J K Sarma; Pramana Journ. of Phys., Vol. 44 No. 6 (1995)

[3] N S Bordoloi, D K Choudhury; Mod. Pys. Lett. A; Vol. 24, No. 6 (2009) 443451

[4] N S Bordoloi, D K Choudhury; Indian J. Phys. 82 (6), 779-787 (2008)

[5] D K Choudhury and N S Bordoloi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1909 (2002).

[6] K K Pathak and D K Choudhury, Chin. Phys. Lett. 28, 101201 (2011).

[7] B J Hajarika, K K Pathak and D K Choudhury, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 26, 1547 (2011).

[8] S Roy, D K Choudhury, Mod. Phys. Let.t A 27, 1250110 (2012)

[9] A Dalgarno *Stationary Perturbation Theory in Quantum theory I* (NewYork:Academic) D R Bates (1961)

[10] A K Ghatak and S Lokanathan *Quantum Mechanics: Theory and Applications* (India: Macmillan) (5^{th} *ed*) 460 (2004)

[11] B J Hazarika, D K Choudhury; Pramana-J. Physics. 2015;84:1; DOI:10.1007/s12043-014-0839-x

[12] I J R Aitchison, J J Dudek; Eur. J. Phys. 23, 605 (2002)

[13] T Das, D K Choudhury, Pramana (2016)

[14] T Das, D K Choudhury, K K Pathak; Ind. Journ. of Phys.; DOI: 10.1007/s12648-016-0866-1 (2016)

[15] T Das, D K Choudhury, K K Pathak; arXiv: 1605.08896v1 [hep-ph] (2016)

[16] A K Ghatak and S Lokanathan in Quantum Mechanics ,McGraw Hill ,1997,pp-291

[17] E Eichten *et al.*, Phys Rev D 17, 3090 (1978)

[18] J J Sakurai *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Massachusetts:Addison-Willey Publishing Company) 128 (1986)

[19] C Itzykson and J Zuber *Quantum Field Theory* (Singapore: International Student Edition,McGraw Hill) 79 (1986)

[20] Abramowitz and Stegun *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (US: National Bureau of Standards) *(*10^{th} *ed)* 46 (1964)

[21] G S Bali; arXiv:hep-ph/0010032v1

[22] D P Stanley, D Robson; Phys. Rev. D 21 Vol.26 No.1 (1982)

[23] W I Giersche and C R Munz; Phys. Rev. C, Vol. 53, No. 5 (1996).

[24] C W Hwang; Eur. Phys. J. 2002; C23:585-590; DOI : 10.1007/s100520200904

[25] F M Fernandez; Eur. J. Phys. 2003;24:289; DOI:10.1088/0143-0807/24/3/309.

[26] I J R Aitchison, J J Dudek; Eur. J. Phys. 23:605 (2002)

[27] R J Lombard, J Mares; Physics Letters B 472 (2000) 150154

A Appendix

With Coulomb parent linear parturbed wave function (6):

$$F(Q^2)\mid_I = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \int_0^{r^S} 4\pi r \mid \psi(r) \mid^2 Sin(Q_i r) dr \tag{A.1}$$

Using equation (6) in equation (A.1) and integrating over r,

$$\begin{aligned} eF(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \Bigg[& \frac{2^{2\epsilon}}{a_0} (\gamma(2-2\epsilon, r_s)) Sin((2-2\epsilon).\theta_i)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-1} \\ & + \frac{a_0^5}{2^{6-2\epsilon}} \mu^2 b^2 (\gamma(6-2\epsilon, r_s)) Sin((6-2\epsilon).\theta_i)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-3} \\ & - \frac{a_0^2}{2^{2-2\epsilon}} \mu b (\gamma(4-2\epsilon, r_s)) Sin((4-2\epsilon).\theta_i)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-2} \Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where

$$\theta_i = Sin^{-1} \left[\frac{Q_i}{\left(Q_i^2 + \frac{4}{a_0^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right], \tag{A.3}$$

where only the first term of the following series is considered

$$Sin^{-1}(x) \approx x + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{3x^5}{40}, \tag{A.4}$$

with

$$x = \frac{Q_i}{\left(Q_i^2 + \frac{4}{a_0^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{A.5}$$

which is true for very low Q^2.

We split the *Sine* function of equation (A.2) using

$$siny = y - \frac{y^3}{3!} + \frac{y^5}{5!}. \tag{A.6}$$

Now equation (A.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \Bigg[& \frac{2^{2\epsilon}}{a_0} (\gamma(2-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((2-2\epsilon)\theta_i - \frac{(2-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}\theta_i^3 + \frac{(2-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}\theta_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-1} \\ & + \frac{a_0^5}{2^{6-2\epsilon}} \mu^2 b^2 (\gamma(6-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((6-2\epsilon)\theta_i - \frac{(6-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}\theta_i^3 + \frac{(6-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}\theta_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-3} \\ & - \frac{a_0^2}{2^{2-2\epsilon}} \mu b (\gamma(4-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((4-2\epsilon)\theta_i - \frac{(4-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}\theta_i^3 + \frac{(4-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}\theta_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-2} \Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Using (A.3) and (A.5) in the above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \Bigg[& \frac{2^{2\epsilon}}{a_0} (\gamma(2-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((2-2\epsilon)X_i - \frac{(2-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}Q_i^2 X_i^3 + \frac{(2-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}Q_i^4 X_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-1} \\ & + \frac{a_0^5}{2^{6-2\epsilon}} \mu^2 b^2 (\gamma(6-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((6-2\epsilon)X_i - \frac{(6-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}Q_i^2 X_i^3 + \frac{(6-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}Q_i^4 X_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-3} \\ & - \frac{a_0^2}{2^{2-2\epsilon}} \mu b (\gamma(4-2\epsilon, r_s)) \left((4-2\epsilon)X_i - \frac{(4-2\epsilon)^3}{3!}Q_i^2 X_i^3 + \frac{(4-2\epsilon)^5}{5!}Q_i^4 X_i^5 \right) (1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-2} \Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$X_i = \left(Q_i^2 + \frac{4}{a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{A.9}$$

At low Q^2 limit, equation (A.8) reduces to equation (32).

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \Bigg[& \frac{1}{2^{1-2\epsilon}}(\gamma(2-2\epsilon, r_s))(2-2\epsilon)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & -\frac{\mu b a_0^3}{2^{3-2\epsilon}}(\gamma(4-2\epsilon, r_s))(4-2\epsilon)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-\frac{5}{2}} \\ & +\frac{\mu^2 b^2 a_0^6}{2^{7-2\epsilon}}(\gamma(6-2\epsilon, r_s))(6-2\epsilon)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{\epsilon-\frac{7}{2}}\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Without relativistic effect ($\epsilon = 0$) the above equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q^2)\mid_I = N^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \Bigg[& \gamma(2, r_s)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & -\frac{\mu b a_0^3}{2}\gamma(4, r_s)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{-\frac{5}{2}} \\ & +\frac{3\mu^2 b^2 a_0^6}{2^6}\gamma(6, r_s)(1+\frac{a_0^2 Q_i^2}{4})^{-\frac{7}{2}}\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

B Appendix

With linear parent Coulomb perturbed wave function (13) considering Airy function upto $O(r^1)$:

$$F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{e_i}{Q_i} \int_{r^L}^{r_0} 4\pi r \mid \psi(r) \mid^2 Sin(Q_i r) dr \tag{B.1}$$

Similarly, at low Q^2 limit equation (B.1) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 a_0^{2\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \Bigg[& \frac{(a_1-b_1\rho_0)^2(\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{1-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + \frac{(b_1\rho_1)^2(\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((2-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{3-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - \frac{2b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)(\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((1-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{2-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - \frac{16}{3}\frac{(a_1-b_1\rho_0)^2\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((3-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^2(Q_i^2)^{\frac{4-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + \frac{16}{3}\frac{(a_1-b_1\rho_0)^2\mu\alpha_s 2\sqrt{2\rho_1}(\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((4-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^3(Q_i^2)^{\frac{5-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - \frac{16}{3}\frac{(b_1\rho_1)^2\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((5-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^2(Q_i^2)^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + \frac{16}{3}\frac{(b_1\rho_1)^2\mu\alpha_s 2\sqrt{2\rho_1}(\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((6-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^3(Q_i^2)^{\frac{7-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + \frac{32}{3}\frac{b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((4-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^2(Q_i^2)^{\frac{5-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - \frac{32}{3}\frac{b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)2\sqrt{2\rho_1}\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((5-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^3(Q_i^2)^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - \frac{8}{3}\frac{(a_1-b_1\rho_0)^2\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((4-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^2(Q_i^2)^{\frac{5-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + \frac{8}{3}\frac{(a_1-b_1\rho_0)^2\mu\alpha_s 2\sqrt{2\rho_1}(\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((5-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^3(Q_i^2)^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{(b_1\rho_1)^2(\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((8-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^4(Q_i^2)^{\frac{9-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{8\rho_1(b_1\rho_1)^2(\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((10-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^6(Q_i^2)^{\frac{11-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{(b_1\rho_1)^2 4\sqrt{2\rho_1}(\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((9-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^5(Q_i^2)^{\frac{10-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{2b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)(\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((7-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^4(Q_i^2)^{\frac{8-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& - (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{2b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)8\rho_1(\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((9-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^6(Q_i^2)^{\frac{10-2\epsilon}{2}}} \\
& + (\frac{8\mu\alpha_s}{3})^2\frac{4b_1\rho_1(a_1-b_1\rho_0)2\sqrt{2\rho_1}(\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_L))Sin((8-2\epsilon)\phi_i)}{(\rho_1 k)^5(Q_i^2)^{\frac{9-2\epsilon}{2}}} \Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_i = Sin^{-1}(1), \\
a_1 = 0.355028, \\
b_1 = 0.258819, \\
b = 0.183 GeV^2, \\
\rho_0 = -\tfrac{3}{4}3^{\frac{1}{3}}\pi^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\
\rho_1 = 0.715309\mu^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
\text{and } k = 1.33586\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting above values in equation (B.2) and using approximations (A.4) and (A.6), the equation (B.2) reduces to equation (B.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 a_0^{2\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Big[&0.913(\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_L))(-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{1-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&-0.353\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}(\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_L))(1-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{2-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+0.0342\mu^{\frac{2}{3}}(\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_L))(2-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{3-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&-5.33\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_L))(3-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{4-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+(13.35\mu^{\frac{7}{6}}+2.06\mu^{\frac{4}{3}}-2.66\mu)\alpha_s(\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_L))(4-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{5-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+(6.675\mu^{\frac{7}{6}}-5.17\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}-0.2\mu^{\frac{5}{3}})\alpha_s(\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_L))(5-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+0.501\mu^{\frac{11}{6}}\alpha_s(\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_L))(6-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{7-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&-3.017\mu^{\frac{7}{3}}\alpha_s^2(\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_L))(7-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{8-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+(0.292\mu^{\frac{8}{3}}+15.1\mu^{\frac{5}{2}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_L))(8-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{9-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&-(1.463\mu^{\frac{17}{6}}+18.91\mu^{\frac{8}{3}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_L))(9-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{10-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+1.83\mu^3\alpha_s^2(\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_L))(10-2\epsilon)\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{11-2\epsilon}{2}}}\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 a_0^{2\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\Big[&F_1\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{1-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_2\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{2-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_3\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{3-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_4\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{4-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+F_5\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{5-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_6\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_7\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{7-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_8\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{8-2\epsilon}{2}}}\\
&+F_9\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{9-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_{10}\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{10-2\epsilon}{2}}}+F_{11}\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{11-2\epsilon}{2}}}\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.4}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= 0.913(\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(-2\epsilon,r_L))(-2\epsilon)\\
F_2 &= -0.353\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}(\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(1-2\epsilon,r_L))(1-2\epsilon)\\
F_3 &= 0.0342\mu^{\frac{2}{3}}(\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(2-2\epsilon,r_L))(2-2\epsilon)\\
F_4 &= -5.33\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(3-2\epsilon,r_L))(3-2\epsilon)\\
F_5 &= (13.35\mu^{\frac{7}{6}}+2.06\mu^{\frac{4}{3}}-2.66\mu)\alpha_s(\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(4-2\epsilon,r_L))(4-2\epsilon)\\
F_6 &= (6.675\mu^{\frac{7}{6}}-5.17\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}-0.2\mu^{\frac{5}{3}})\alpha_s(\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(5-2\epsilon,r_L))(5-2\epsilon)\\
F_7 &= 0.501\mu^{\frac{11}{6}}\alpha_s(\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(6-2\epsilon,r_L))(6-2\epsilon)\\
F_8 &= -3.017\mu^{\frac{7}{3}}\alpha_s^2(\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(7-2\epsilon,r_L))(7-2\epsilon)\\
F_9 &= (0.292\mu^{\frac{8}{3}}+15.1\mu^{\frac{5}{2}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(8-2\epsilon,r_L))(8-2\epsilon)\\
F_{10} &= -(1.463\mu^{\frac{17}{6}}+18.91\mu^{\frac{8}{3}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(9-2\epsilon,r_L))(9-2\epsilon)\\
F_{11} &= 1.83\mu^3\alpha_s^2(\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_0)-\gamma(10-2\epsilon,r_L))(10-2\epsilon).
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.5}
$$

We can express equation (B.4) as

$$
F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 a_0^{2\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i\left[\sum_{k=1}^{11} F_k\frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{k-2\epsilon}{2}}}\right].
\tag{B.6}
$$

Without relativistic effect ($\epsilon = 0$) the above equation reduces to

$$F(Q^2)\mid_{II} = 4\pi N'^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \left[\sum_{k=2}^{11} F'_k \frac{1}{(Q_i^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}}\right] \tag{B.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F'_2 &= -0.353\mu^{\frac{1}{3}}(\gamma(1,r_0) - \gamma(1,r_L)) \\
F'_3 &= 2 \times 0.0342\mu^{\frac{2}{3}}(\gamma(2,r_0) - \gamma(2,r_L)) \\
F'_4 &= -3 \times 5.33\mu\alpha_s(\gamma(3,r_0) - \gamma(3,r_L)) \\
F'_5 &= 4 \times (13.35\mu^{\frac{7}{6}} + 2.06\mu^{\frac{4}{3}} - 2.66\mu)\alpha_s(\gamma(4,r_0) - \gamma(4,r_L)) \\
F'_6 &= 5 \times (6.675\mu^{\frac{7}{6}} - 5.17\mu^{\frac{3}{2}} - 0.2\mu^{\frac{5}{3}})\alpha_s(\gamma(5,r_0) - \gamma(5,r_L)) \\
F'_7 &= 6 \times 0.501\mu^{\frac{11}{6}}\alpha_s(\gamma(6,r_0) - \gamma(6,r_L)) \\
F'_8 &= -7 \times 3.017\mu^{\frac{7}{3}}\alpha_s^2(\gamma(7,r_0) - \gamma(7,r_L)) \\
F'_9 &= 8 \times (0.292\mu^{\frac{8}{3}} + 15.1\mu^{\frac{5}{2}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(8,r_0) - \gamma(8,r_L)) \\
F'_{10} &= -9 \times (1.463\mu^{\frac{17}{6}} + 18.91\mu^{\frac{8}{3}})\alpha_s^2(\gamma(9,r_0) - \gamma(9,r_L)) \\
F'_{11} &= 10 \times 1.83\mu^3\alpha_s^2(\gamma(10,r_0) - \gamma(10,r_L)).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

In obtaining (A.8), (A.11), (B.6) and (B.7) we have also used the following integration

$$\int_0^\infty x^{p-1}e^{-ax}Sin(mx)dx = \frac{\Gamma(p)Sin(p\theta)}{(a^2+m^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}}. \tag{B.9}$$

The following form of Incomplete Gamma Function is used in obtaining (B.5) and (B.8)

$$\int_u^v t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt = \gamma(s,v) - \gamma(s,u). \tag{B.10}$$